

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,837	02/25/2004	Kelly Marie Morrison-Gale	2961	
7590 02/21/2006		06	EXAMINER	
Kelly M. Morrison-Gale			PATEL, TAJASH D	
19416 Ridgeline Court Strongsville, OH 44136			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3765	

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,837	MORRISON-GALE, KELLY MARIE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tejash D. Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intengan (US 4,173,792) in view of New (US 3,735,420). Intengan discloses a necktie (14) including a necktie main body (16) resembling a traditional necktie form having a front, neckband, and rear sections with a necktie knot structure (40) that has a regular octagonal shape as shown in figure 2. Further, the knot structure is removable with female (60) and male (58) fasteners on opposite face sides thereof as also shown in figure 2. Also, the knot structure resembles an irregular octagonal shape when an imaginary line, parallel to the longest line of the shape is drawn at the midpoint thereof as shown in figure 5. However, Intengan does not show the main body and the knot structure being reversible.

New discloses a reversible necktie having a main body (3) and a knot structure (9) with the main body having two face sides with each of the face side including two material

Application/Control Number: 10/786,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

pattern sections (10, 11) which resembles approximately one half of a traditional necktie form cut in an angular manner near the centermost portion of a neckband area with a traditional angular seams running near thereof, col. 2, lines 1-20 and as shown in figures 1, 2 and 4. Furthermore, the assembly includes interfacing (8,13) between the material face sides, col. 2,

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the main body and the knot structure of Intengan being reversible with each side having different pattern sections as taught by New so that the user can easily wear the necktie as

required for a particular application or end use thereof.

lines 10-20 and as shown in figure 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

February 17, 2006

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER